

ASERS

Journal of Advanced Research in Law and Economics

Quarterly

Volume VIII


Issue 6(28)

Fall 2017

ISSN: 2068-696X

Journal's DOI: <https://doi.org/10.14505/jarle>

ASERS
Publishing



Editor in Chief

Madalina Constantinescu

Association for Sustainable
Education Research and Science,
Romania, **Romania**

Co-Editors

Russell Pittman

International Technical Assistance
Economic Analysis Group Antitrust
Division, **USA**

Eric Langlais

EconomiX CNRS and Université Paris
Ouest-Nanterre, **France**

Editorial Advisory Board

Huseyin Arasli

Eastern Mediterranean University,
North Cyprus

Jean-Paul Gaertner

Ecole de Management de Strasbourg,
France

Shankar Gargh

Editor in Chief of Advanced in
Management, **India**

Arvi Kuura

Pärnu College, University of Tartu,
Estonia

Piotr Misztal

Technical University of Radom,
Economic Department, **Poland**

Adrian Cristian Moise

Spiru Haret University, **Romania**

Peter Sturm

Université de Grenoble 1 Joseph
Fourier, **France**

Rajesh K.Pillania

Management Development Institute,
India

RachelPrice-Kreitz

Ecole de Management de Strasbourg,
France

LauraUngureanu

Association for Sustainable Education
Research and Science, Romania,
Romania

Hans-JürgenWeißbach, University of
Applied Sciences - Frankfurt am Main,
Germany

Contents:

| | | |
|-----------|---|----------|
| 1 | Modelling the Functional System of Small Business Development in the Republic of Kazakhstan by Aigerim Abeldanova, Zhanara Smailova, Gaukhar Taspenova, Gilash Uashov, Zhanna Aubakirova, and Natalya Kozlova | ... 1671 |
| 2 | Features of Financial Support of Agro-industrial Complex of Kazakhstan in Conditions of WTO by Baglan Aimurzina, Mazken Kamenova, Madina Tursynbayeva, Ainura Omarova, Gulzhan Tazhbenova, and Toty Bekzhanova | ... 1678 |
| 3 | The System of Internal Control as a Factor in the Integration of the Strategic and Innovation Dimensions of a Company's Development by Elvir Munirovich Akhmetshin | ... 1684 |
| 4 | Forecasting of Prices for Agricultural Products on the Basis of Fractal-Integrated ARFIMA Model L.A. Aleksandrova, I.P. Glebov, Y.V. Melnikova, and I.N. Merkulova | ... 1693 |
| 5 | Forecasting Aspects of the Russian and Worldwide Markets of Forest Products by Saida Olegovna Apsalyamova, Bella Olegovna Khachir, and Oleg Zakireevich Khuazhev | ... 1702 |
| 6 | Development of Methods of Optimization of Non-Tax Payments for Decrease in Expenses of the Company at Implementation of the Foreign Economic and Resource Activity. Optimization of Non-Tax Payments by Anna Vladimirovna Bobrova, Evgeny Aleksandrovich Stepanov, and Ilya Alekseevich Tetin | ... 1711 |
| 7 | The System of Management of the Intangible Assets in the Agricultural Enterprises by Yulia V. Chub, and Yarmila S. Tkal | ... 1727 |
| 8 | Sustainable Development of Human Resources of the EEU Countries in the Integrated Labor Market by Bayan Doskaliyeva, Gulmira Rakhimova, Manatzhana Tleuzhanova, and Asiya Kasenova, Lyubov Popova | ... 1740 |
| 9 | Analysis of the Economic Optimism of the Institutional Groups and Socio-Economic Systems by Dmitry A. Endovitsky, Maria B. Tabachnikova, and Yuri I. Treshchevsky | ... 1745 |
| 10 | The Effect of Futures Markets on the Financial and Behavioral Approach of Accepted Companies in Tehran Stock Exchange by Shaho Heidari Gandoman, Mozaffar Valimohammadi, and Mohammad Nazari-pour | ... 1753 |

| | | |
|----|---|----------|
| 11 | The Mediating Effect of Inflation on the Effect of Trade Liberalizations and Government Spending Towards Welfare | ... 1759 |
| | by Tri Abdi Reviane Indraswati | |
| 12 | State Programming of Innovation Development of Economy: Macrostructural Priorities, Institutional and Economic Specification | ... 1769 |
| | by Elena Gordeeva, Baurzhan Esengeldin, and Zhibek Khusainova | |
| 13 | Integration of Financial Markets Under the Conditions of the Eurasian Economic Union: Challenges and Opportunities | ... 1779 |
| | by Rymkul Ismailova, Amina Mussina, Aliya Abdikarimova, Zhibek Omarkhanova, Zhanna Nurgaliyeva, and Rimma Zhanairova | |
| 14 | Transformation of HF in Regions of Russia: Retrospective Experience and Modern Dynamics | ... 1785 |
| | by Lyudmila Kazakova, Mikhail Kazakov, Larisa Korobeinikova, Janet Shikhalieva, and Oksana Dubskaya | |
| 15 | Forecasting Mortality for Kazakhstan Using the Lee-Carter modelⁱ | ... 1798 |
| | by Akzharkyn Knykova, and Azat Sapin | |
| 16 | Evolution of Species' in Business: From Mice to Elephants. The Question of Small Enterprise Development | ... 1812 |
| | by Ekaterina Litau | |
| 17 | Support of Cultural Services Through the Integrated Service Centers: Prospects for Development | ... 1825 |
| | by Nataliya Anato'evna Malshina, and Alexander Nikolaevich Bryntsev | |
| 18 | Theoretic and Methodological Bases of Human Capital Development | ... 1837 |
| | by Saule Mazhitova, Tamara Satkaliyeva, Gaukhar Taspenova, Aigerim Abeldanova, and Zhanat Malgaraeva | |
| 19 | The Impact of Government Expenditure and Sectoral Investment on Economic Growth in South Africa | ... 1842 |
| | by Daniel Francois Meyer, Tebogo Manete, and Paul-Francois Muzindutsi | |
| 20 | National Cohesion Policies and the Influence of Interregional Divergence Gap on Innovation Sustainability | ... 1854 |
| | by Andrey S. Mikhaylov, and Anna A. Mikhaylova | |
| 21 | Kazakhstan and the European Union: Prospects of Cooperation in Developing the Agro-industrial Sector of Economy | ... 1861 |
| | by Yerkezhan Moldakenova, Aigul Bakirbekova, Luiza Moldashbayeva, Vilen Biyeu, and Nazira Yskak | |

ASERS Publishing

Copyright © 2017, by ASERS®Publishing.
All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced,
stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in
any form or by any means, electronic,
mechanical, photocopying, recording,
scanning or otherwise, except under the terms
of the Romanian Copyright, Designs and
Patents Law, without the permission in writing
of the Publisher.

Requests to the Publisher should be
addressed to the Permissions Department of
ASERS Publishing: asers@asers.eu and
apg@aserspublishing.eu

<http://journals.aserspublishing.eu>
ISSN 2068-696X
Journal DOI: <https://doi.org/10.14505/jarle>
Journal's Issue DOI:
[https://doi.org/10.14505/jarle.v8.6\(28\).00](https://doi.org/10.14505/jarle.v8.6(28).00)

| | |
|----|---|
| 22 | Optimal Management of the Enterprise's Financial Flows by Viktor Oliinyk ... 1875 |
| 23 | Peculiarities of the World Experience of State Financial Support of Innovations by Yerkenazym Orynbabassarova, Yerboz Nabiyev, Anna Legostayeva, Nurgul Kuttybayeva, Gaukhar Kopzhassarova, and Musynova Asel ... 1884 |
| 24 | Estimation of Non-standard Employment of the Population by Tatyana Pritvorova, Olzhas Kulov, Elmira Syzdykova, Yekaterina Gartsuyeva, Kasiya Kirdasina, and Nurgul Shamisheva ... 1892 |
| 25 | Prospects for Creating an Interregional Innovation Center in the Russian Far East by Viktor Vasilevich Savaley ... 1901 |
| 26 | Regional Labor Market: Forecasting the Economic Effect of Cooperation between Universities and Entrepreneurs by Elena Evgenyevna Sharafanova, Yelena Anatol'yevna Fedosenko, and Angi Erastievich Skhvediani ... 1908 |
| 27 | Criteria for Selective Territorial Expansion: Case Study of the Republic of Kazakhstan by Oksana Viktorovna Shumakova, Dinar Rahmetulovna Baetova, Elena Aleksandrovna Dmitrenko, Yuriy Ivanovich Novikov, and Oleg Anatolievich Blinov ... 1916 |
| 28 | Features of Investment Activity Management in the Mineral and Raw Materials Sector of the Economy by Muratbay Sikhimbayev, and Zhanna Shugaipova ... 1928 |
| 29 | The Business Aspect of Innovative Environment of Regions on the Basis of Modernization the Investment Policy by Zhanara Smailova, Gulnar Abdullina, Gilash Uashov, Aliya Seitbatkalova, and Julia Vikulenko ... 1933 |
| 30 | Financial Appraisal as the Basis for Development of the Efficient Corporate Financial Strategy in the Context of Economic Instability by Yuliya Pavlovna Soboleva, Inna Grigorievna Parshutina, Olga Aleksandrovna Shapороva, Lilia Mikhailovna Marchenkova, and Evgeniia Vladimirovna Simonova ... 1939 |
| 31 | Revisiting The Aid – Growth Nexus: New Evidence on 56 Least Developed Countries by Eko Sugiyanto, and Kumba Digdowiseiso ... 1950 |
| 32 | Influence of Stakeholders on Policy Formation in the SME Sector by Gulimzhan Suleimenova, Arman Nurmaganbetov, Sayan Shakeyev, Kuat Zhaksybayev, and Duman Nursultan ... 1959 |
| 33 | The Comparative Analysis Between Real Variable and Monetary Approach in Estimating and Forecasting Foreign Exchange Rate of Rupiah by Bambang Suprayitno ... 1966 |

ASERS Publishing

Copyright © 2017, by ASERS®Publishing.
All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced,
stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in
any form or by any means, electronic,
mechanical, photocopying, recording,
scanning or otherwise, except under the terms
of the Romanian Copyright, Designs and
Patents Law, without the permission in writing
of the Publisher.

Requests to the Publisher should be
addressed to the Permissions Department of
ASERS Publishing: asers@asers.eu and
apg@aserspublishing.eu

<http://journals.aserspublishing.eu>
ISSN 2068-696X
Journal DOI: <https://doi.org/10.14505/jarle>
Journal's Issue DOI:
[https://doi.org/10.14505/jarle.v8.6\(28\).00](https://doi.org/10.14505/jarle.v8.6(28).00)

- 34 **Stability of the Banking Sector: State, Problems of Security**
by Lyazat Talimova, and Gauhar Kalkabayeva ... 1975
- 35 **Budget Constraints and Expanding of Non-Governmental Organizations Participation in the Implementation of State's Social Policies**
by Arsen A. Tatuev, Murat A. Kerefov, Nataliya I. Ovcharova, Maria L. Vilisova, and Renata A. Shibzuhova ... 1980
- 36 **About Development Regulation of Small-Scale Agrarian Business Entities in the South of Russia**
by Aleksey Vasilevich Tolmachev, Alexander Borisovich Melnikov, Yuriy Iosifovich Bershitskiy, Nadezhda Konstantinovna Vasilieva, and Elena Igorevna Artemova ... 1989
- 37 **The Main Directions of Development of the Higher Education Management System in the Republic of Kazakhstan**
by Dametken Turekulova, Gulnara Lesbayeva, Aigul Yesturlieva, Gauhar Saimagambetova, Zhanna Aubakirova, and Natalya Kozlova ... 1998
- 38 **Modern Labor Market: Trends and Features of Development**
by Dametken Turekulova, Lyazzat Mukhambetova, Gulnar Iskakova, Galiya Bermukhamedova, and Gulbagda Bodaukhan ... 2005
- 39 **Current Stage and Prospects of Industrial and Innovative Development in Kazakhstan**
by Aleksandr Tyo, Galina Kogay, Baldyrgan Jazykbayeva, Bahit Spanova, Tatyana Ten, and Sholpan Omarova ... 2015
- 40 **Priority Directions of Cooperation and Interaction of the Countries of the Eurasian Economic Union in the Scientific and Innovative Environment**
by Dmitriy Ulybyshev, Yelena Petrenko, Olga Lenkova, and Serik Akenov ... 2024
- 41 **Approaches to Assessing the Innovative Potential of Territorial Social-Economic Systems**
by Irina V. Volchkova, Irina V. Votyakova, Olga P. Nedospasova, Ekaterina S. Vorobyeva, and Anatoly A. Nedospasov ... 2031
- 42 **Forming the Strategy of Financial Development for Highly Technological Enterprise**
by Vitaly Zhuravel, Konstantin Kostyukov, Elena Batishcheva, Olga Elchaninova, and Marina Leshcheva ... 2041
- 43 **Business Activity Organization on the Regional Level: State and Prospects**
by Serhii Anatoliyovych Harkusha, Anatoliy Ivanovych Hlushachenko, and Olena Oleksandrivna Dovzhyk ... 2054

ASERS Publishing

Copyright © 2017, by ASERS®Publishing.
All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning or otherwise, except under the terms of the Romanian Copyright, Designs and Patents Law, without the permission in writing of the Publisher.

Requests to the Publisher should be addressed to the Permissions Department of ASERS Publishing: asers@asers.eu and apg@aserspublishing.eu

<http://journals.aserspublishing.eu>
ISSN 2068-696X
Journal DOI: <https://doi.org/10.14505/jarle>
Journal's Issue DOI:
[https://doi.org/10.14505/jarle.v8.6\(28\).00](https://doi.org/10.14505/jarle.v8.6(28).00)

Call for Papers

Volume VIII, Issue 6(28), Fall 2017

Journal of Advanced Research in Law and Economics

Journal of Advanced Research in Law and Economics is designed to provide an outlet for theoretical and empirical research on the interface between economics and law. The Journal explores the various understandings that economic approaches shed on legal institutions.

Journal of Advanced Research in Law and Economics publishes theoretical and empirical peer-reviewed research in law and economics-related subjects. Referees are chosen with one criterion in mind: simultaneously, one should be a lawyer and the other an economist. The journal is edited for readability both lawyers and economists scholars and specialized practitioners count among its readers.

To explore the various understandings that economic approaches shed on legal institutions, the Review applies to legal issues the insights developed in economic disciplines such as microeconomics and game theory, finance, econometrics, and decision theory, as well as in related disciplines such as political economy and public choice, behavioral economics and social psychology. Also, *Journal of Advanced Research in Law and Economics* publishes research on a broad range of topics including the economic analysis of regulation and the behavior of regulated firms, the political economy of legislation and legislative processes, law and finance, corporate finance and governance, and industrial organization.

Its approach is broad-ranging with respect both to methodology and to subject matter. It embraces interrelationships between economics and procedural or substantive law (including international and European Community law) and also legal institutions, jurisprudence, and legal and politico – legal theory.

The quarterly journal reaches an international community of scholars in law and economics.

Submissions to *Journal of Advanced Research in Law and Economics* are welcome. The paper must be an original unpublished work written in English (consistent British or American), not under consideration by other journals.

Journal of Advanced Research in Law and Economics is currently indexed in SCOPUS, EconLit, RePec, CEEOL, EBSCO, ProQuest, and Cabell's Directory.

Invited manuscripts will be due till 1st of October 2017, and shall go through the usual, albeit somewhat expedited, refereeing process.

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Deadline for submission of proposals: | 1 st of October 2017 |
| Expected Publication Date: | December 2017 |
| Web: | http://journals.aserspublishing.eu |
| E-mail: | jarle@aserspublishing.eu |

Full author's guidelines are available from: <http://journals.aserspublishing.eu/jarle/about>

The Comparative Analysis between Real Variable and Monetary Approach in Estimating and Forecasting Foreign Exchange Rate of Rupiah

Bambang SUPRAYITNO
Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta
bambang_s@uny.ac.id

Suggested Citation:

Suprayitno Bambang. *et al.* 2017. The Comparative Analysis between Real Variable and Monetary Approach in Estimating and Forecasting Foreign Exchange Rate of Rupiah, *Journal of Advanced Research in Law and Economics*, Volume VIII, Fall, 6(28): 1966 – 1974. DOI: [10.14505/jarle.v8.6\(28\).33](https://doi.org/10.14505/jarle.v8.6(28).33). Available from: <http://journals.aserspublishing.eu/jarle/index>

Article's History:

Received July, 2017; Revised August, 2017; Published September, 2017.
Copyright © 2017, by ASERS® Publishing. All rights reserved.

Abstract:

This paper aims to compare forecasting foreign exchange rate between real variable approach and monetary approach. Estimation model of ECM is used to estimate and forecast through those approaches. Completing the comparison, We also involve estimating and forecasting through adaptive expectation, we run ARIMA model to estimate and forecast real exchange rate. We utilize data of Indonesia in 1979 – 2000 to estimate the models. Parameter resulted is used to forecast (*ex post*) real exchange rate in interval 2001-2009. Empirical analysis shows that ARIMA's prediction trend follows nearest the actual. The analysis supports the fact which is provide by step by step forecasting and explain that ARIMA outperform than others in 1st three years (2001-2003), the 2nd (2004-2006), the 3rd (2007-2009), and all of nine years horizon forecasting. It also shows that MON consistently outperforms REAL. One of interesting points, REAL outperforms all method in the longer observation (all observation in estimating parameters).

Keywords: adaptive expectation; ARIMA; ECM.

JEL Classification: C53; F31; O24.

Introduction

There are some approaches to estimate foreign exchange rate. Most of them are divided to be two approaches in rational expectation. One is based on monetary approach and other is using real variable approach.

There is a long story in evolution in monetary approach. It tells that most of the researchers were not satisfied using the model (Neely, and Sarno 2002). This result can be concluded from long history since the basic model till using data generating process or GDP. On the other hand, estimating foreign exchange of the currency using real variable can be one of phenomena in estimating the currency. Most of them were using trade balance approach.

Estimating using real variable was carried out by Bergvall (2004) with concerning in long run co movement among real exchange rate, relative labor productivity, the trade balance, and term of trade. He intended that using this approach outperform while using PPP hypothesis all movement in real exchange rate are transitory. Bergvall was also deepening his research by adding impact from supply and demand factors that effect on real exchange rate determination.

Bergvall (2004) resulted that a country with faster relative output growth per unit of labor input in the tradable sector, trade deficits or improved terms of trade should experience a real appreciation. The empirical analysis resulted that most of the variables important in long run co movement with real exchange rate. With four country sample, the research concluded that exogenous terms-of-trade shocks are found to be the most important determinants of long-run in Denmark and Norway. While for the Finland, most of the long-run variance in the real exchange rate is due to demand shocks. For Sweden, most of the long-run variance is due to demand shocks, although supply and exogenous terms-of-trade shocks also have a substantial influence on the long-run variance in the real exchange rate.

In the earlier research, Lane, and Milesi-Faretti (2002) explored the relation among variables such as net foreign assets and the trade balance to the real exchange rate. It focused on sample OECD country economies in 1970-1998 by selecting the group of countries whose data had higher quality. Through controlling other determinants, the research captured negative relationship between trade balance and real exchange rate. Moreover, magnitude of the coefficient is

increasing in country size and it proved direct evidence that the relative price of non-tradable co-move with the trade balance even controlling for relative sectoral productivity.

On Monetary approach, Neely, and Sarno (2002) had reviewed the evolution of the research of exchange rate estimation and forecast through the way. The monetary approach to exchange rate determination emerged as the dominant exchange rate model at the outset of the recent float in the early 1970s and remains an important exchange rate paradigm. This paper also reviewed research finding which was carried out by Meese, and Rogoff (1983). They found that monetary models' forecasts could not outperform a simple no-change forecast was a devastating critique of standard models and marked a watershed in exchange rate economics. Moreover, even with the benefit of 20 years of hindsight, evidence that monetary models can consistently and significantly outperform a naïve random walk is still elusive.

This paper has purpose to compare estimation and forecasting foreign exchange rate between real variable approach and monetary approach. Completing the comparison, we also involve estimating and forecasting through adaptive expectation. These approaches are carried out in Indonesia by estimating and forecasting bilateral foreign exchange rate between domestic currency and US dollar.

The rest of this paper has structure as follows. Section II explains theoretical framework that discuss real variable approach, monetary approach, and adaptive expectation. Section III describes the methodology carried out to analyze the real exchange determination and compare the accuracy among three methods. Section IV reports results of the data analysis, the estimation, and the forecasting. Some conclusions are reported in the last section.

1. Real Variable Approach

Bergval (2004) assumed that the world consist two countries domestic and partner country, the domestic country is a small country relative to the partner (rest of the world). He also divides goods to be tradable and non tradable. The tradable goods consists imported goods and domestically produced goods. Based on the assumptions, Bergvall derived function equation of determination to real exchange rate.

$$q = \left(\frac{n\varpi^{n\varpi} (1-\varpi)^{n(1-\varpi)}}{n^n} \right) \cdot \left[\left(\left(P_t^T \right)^{\gamma} \frac{y^T y^{N*}}{y^N y^{T*}} \right) \left(\frac{\left(\frac{IM_t}{EX_t} \right)}{\varpi + \frac{(1-\varpi)}{P_t^T}} \right)^{1-\gamma} \right]^{n-1} \cdot \left(P_t^T \right)^{-n(1-\varpi)} \quad (1)$$

According to the equation (1), the function constructed by Bergval (2004), the effects of variables such as the ratio of imports to exports (im-ex), relative GDP per number of employees domestic to foreign country (y-y*) and the real price of oil (oil) on the real effective exchange rate (q) can be hypothesized with the sign like the function below where the expected sign is given in parentheses:

$$(-) \quad (+/-) \quad (-) \\ q_t = f((y-y^*), \text{oil}, (\text{im-ex})) \quad (2)$$

It mean that when the ratio of imports to exports (im-ex) or relative GDP per number of employees (y-y*) from domestic country increase so it will create appreciation of domestic currency. The sign of oil can be positive or negative because its effect depends on the characteristic of the country to the resources.

2. Monetary Approach

In Neely, and Sarno's literature review (2002), the paper tell that the seminal work use monetary model was conducted by Meese, and Rogoff (hereafter MR) in 1983. MR use some relative variables of domestic to foreign like domestic money supply (m), output (y), interest rate (i), expected inflation (π), and the trade balance (tb) to predict the exchange rate. The basic prediction equation of MR follows the equation below:

$$S_{t+k} = a_0 + a_1(m_t - m_t^*) + a_2(y_t - y_t^*) + a_3(i_t - i_t^*) + a_4(\pi_t - \pi_t^*) + a_5tb_t + a_6tb_t^* + v_t \quad (3)$$

But the model has many problems. An important problem is the explanatory variables are all endogenous variables. Hence, it can result simultant bias parameter. Finally the seminal work of MR showed that the monetary model cannot outperform than a no-change forecast in predict exchange rate.

To resurrect the monetary approach Mark and other researchers focused the deviation of exchange rate to combination of relative money and relative output. Later is called the fundamental value of exchange rate.

$$f_t = [(m_t - m^*_t) - (y_t - y^*_t)] \tag{4}$$

Based on the theory, Rapach, and Wohar (2002) explicitly write that a long-run or steady-state model of exchange rate determination follows the equation:

$$e_t = (m^*_t - m_t) - \alpha(y^*_t - y_t) \tag{5}$$

Where $\alpha > 0$, e_t is the nominal exchange rate measured in the number of units of foreign currency per unit of domestic currency. Most recent prediction equations of exchange rate in monetary approach are based on this fundamental value.

3. Adaptive Expectation

This approach states that change ($X^{e_{t+1}} - X^{e_t}$) in next expectation of variable X has certain proportion to the error made the last expectation ($X_t - X^{e_t}$). Mathematically (Hill et al, 1997; Pindyck and Rubinfeld, 1998), it has equation:

$$(X^{e_{t+1}} - X^{e_t}) = (1-\lambda)(X_t - X^{e_t}) \tag{6}$$

Through mathematical engineering, the equation evolves step by step follows:

$$\begin{aligned} X^{e_{t+1}} &= (1-\lambda)X_t + \lambda X^{e_t} \\ X^{e_{t+1}} &= (1-\lambda)X_t + \lambda(1-\lambda)X_{t-1} + \lambda^2 X^{e_{t-1}} \\ X^{e_{t+1}} &= (1-\lambda)X_t + \lambda(1-\lambda)X_{t-1} + \lambda^2(1-\lambda)X_{t-2} + \lambda^3 X^{e_{t-2}} \\ X^{e_{t+1}} &= (1-\lambda)X_t + \lambda(1-\lambda)X_{t-1} + \lambda^2(1-\lambda)X_{t-2} + \lambda^3(1-\lambda)X_{t-3} + \lambda^4 X^{e_{t-3}} \\ X^{e_{t+1}} &= (1-\lambda)(X_t + \lambda X_{t-1} + \lambda^2 X_{t-2} + \lambda^3 X_{t-3} + \dots + \lambda^i X_{t-i}) \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

We can see from the equation above that larger lag included in the equation smallest proportion to the expectation of the next value of variable since $0 < \lambda < 1$.

Hence, the simple function equation:

$$X^{e_{t+1}} = f(X_t, X_{t-1}, X_{t-2}, X_{t-3}, \dots, X_{t-i}) \tag{7}$$

When we expect real exchange rate (RE) then:

$$\begin{aligned} RE^{e_{t+1}} &= f(RE_t, RE_{t-1}, RE_{t-2}, RE_{t-3}, \dots, RE_{t-i}) \\ \text{or} \\ RE^{e_t} &= f(RE_{t-1}, RE_{t-2}, RE_{t-3}, RE_{t-4}, \dots, RE_{t-i}) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Technically, we can carry out estimate the expectation through regressing the variable by its variable itself (autoregressive regression). Though trial and error, we can add more and more lag (autoregressive distributed lag) then we can select the most efficient equation by selection criteria statistic. This approach is used to get most parsimony model.

Other alternative, we can run ARIMA model to estimate and forecast real exchange rate. Before we detect the order AR and MA, we should ensure stationarity of the variable. When the variable has no $I(0)$ we can differentiate to achieve the order integration. To detect the order of RA and MA, we can use ACF and PACF. We make sure that the best model whose plots of ACF and PACF from residuals of model ARIMA (p,q,r) not significantly out of the bound of the SE.

4. Method

The purpose of the paper is to examine comparative analysis between two approach estimation. The one is estimate real exchange rate through real variable approach and the other is using monetary variable approach. Completing the comparison, it also estimates the exchange rate through adaptive expectation.

This empirical analysis is based on the equation (2), (5), and (8). Where equation (2) explains a function in a function in real variable approach, (5) is a function in monetary approach and (8) is a function in adaptive expectation. The Three econometric models which can be drawn from the equations are:

$$RE_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 RGDP_{EM_t} + \alpha_2 OIL_t + \alpha_3 RXM_t + \varepsilon_{1t} \tag{9}$$

$$RE_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 RMS + \beta_2 RGDP + \varepsilon_{2t} \tag{10}$$

$$RE_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 RE(-1) + \gamma_2 RE(-2) + \dots + \gamma_i RE(-i) + \varepsilon_{3t} \tag{11}$$

Where:

RE: real exchange rate (Rp/US\$)

- RGDPEM: relative ratio of GDP to number employment between Indonesia to US,
- OIL: crude oil price in average and constant price
- RXM: export to import ratio
- RMS: relative real money supply between Indonesia to US
- RGDP: relative real GDP between Indonesia to US

The estimation use data annually time series, 1979-2009. Relative data of the variable has proxy US data since US is a major partner in international trade of Indonesia. The data such as GDP, money supply, price index, exchange rate, employment, and export import can be retrieved from IFS (little part of them got form BPS Indonesia and BI). List of crude oil price sourced from U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration. Most of them are derived to real or constant price.

Dynamic OLS model such as ECM is used to estimate and forecast real exchange rate in real variable and monetary approach. Completing process before estimation, it needs test statistic to evaluate behavior the data. Unit root stationary test is conducted to see whether the data is stationer or not. This test is needed to avoid spurious regression while the data is not stationer (Gujarati 2004). In line with the ECM, it is also carried out Johansen Cointegration test. The last test is needed as necessary condition to do ECM estimation.

In estimating parameter in the three equations, the paper use data interval in 1979-2000. Parameter resulted is used to forecast (*ex post*) real exchange rate in interval 2001-2009. Through this way, we can compare the accuracy of three estimation parameter in forecasting. We can use Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), Mean Absolute Error (MAE), and Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) to measure the best forecasting among the three estimation parameter.

5. Results and Discussion

Based on (9) and (10) estimation model for real variable (REAL) and monetary approach (MON), we can select functional form of both model. Conducting MacKinnon, White, and Davidson (MWD) test we can examine to choose the best form model between linear and log-linear model (Gujarati 2004). After conducting MWD test for both model, it results that log-linear model is outperform linear. This result is based on significantly Z1 and not for Z2 for both models. So all variable will used in the model is log values of the variable.

5.1. Data Analysis

The data used annually time series in interval 1980-2009. According the result test explained Table 1, most variables has unit root. Only LRXM and LRGDP is stationer in level (denoted as I(0)). To evaluate data that integrate in certain degree, sequence test is conducted test of integration degree. The test result that all the variable integrated in 1 degree. It show that the variable will stationer when it difference once or denote has I(1).

Table 1. Stationary Test

| Var | LOIL | | LRE | | RXM | | LRGDPEM | | RMS | | RGDP | |
|--------|--------|-----|--------|-----|-----|-----|---------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|
| Result | Sig | Lag | Sig | Lag | Sig | Lag | Sig | Lag | Sig | Lag | Sig | Lag |
| N | U Root | 0 | U Root | 0 | * | 0 | U Root | 0 | U Root | 1 | U Root | 0 |
| C | U Root | 0 | U Root | 0 | * | 0 | U Root | 0 | U Root | 0 | *** | 12 |
| C+T | U Root | 0 | U Root | 0 | * | 0 | U Root | 0 | U Root | 12 | U Root | 12 |

Note: N, C, C+T: sequential are ADF test using no constant and trend, constant, constant and intercept; U Root: the data has unit root or not stationer; Lag: number of efficient lag used in ADF test; ***, **, *: significance level of rejection H0 where the H0 is having unit root.

Table 2. Integration Test

| Var | D(LOIL) | | D(LRE) | | D(LRMS) | | D(LRGDPEM) | |
|--------|---------|-----|--------|-----|---------|-----|------------|-----|
| Result | Sig | Lag | Sig | Lag | Sig | Lag | Sig | Lag |
| N | *** | 0 | *** | 0 | ** | 0 | *** | 0 |
| C | *** | 0 | *** | 0 | * | 0 | *** | 0 |
| C+T | *** | 1 | *** | 0 | U Root | 12 | ** | 0 |

Using Johansen Cointegration Test, It results that variables involved in real variable (LRE = f(LRGDPEM, LOIL, LRXM)) and monetary approach (LRE = f(LRMS,LRGDP)) cointegrates. It means that the variables in models have linear combination or has long run relationship. This long run relationship is needed as precondition to carry out ECM estimation.

5.2. ECM Estimation for REAL and MON

Using basic estimation in static model in equation (9) and (10) we can derived ECM model equation. Because not all variable in the model has I(0) so we just can estimate ECM in Domowitz and Badawi equation Model. The result has ECT sign expected and coefficient significantly influence dependent variable. It shows that variables in the model cointegrate and the model specified well. With the adjusted sample 1982-2000, both estimations have result as shown in Table 3 and 4.

Table 3. Short Run and Long Run Coefficient of ECM Estimation Log Real Exchange Rate with Real Variable

| Variable | ShortRun | Long Run |
|----------|----------|----------|
| C | 0 | 9.0747 |
| LOIL | 0 | -0.5464 |
| LRGDPEM | 0 | 0.2736 |
| LRXM | 0 | 1.1444 |

Note: Coefficient show while significant at 1%, 5%, and 10% except in the long run.

Table 4. Short Run and Long Run Coefficient of ECM Estimation Log Real Exchange Rate through Monetary Approach

| Variable | ShortRun | Long Run |
|----------|----------|----------|
| C | 16.8722 | 18.1992 |
| LRMS | 0.9497 | 0.7674 |
| LRGDP | -4.0568 | -2.4464 |

5.3. Adaptive Expectation Estimation

Because LRE is not stationer so the adaptive expectation cannot run. We can use Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) to resolve the problem. After identification process through ACF and PACF analysis for once difference, we got that the variable should be estimated using ARIMA (1,2,2). The result is shown in Table 5.

Before accomplishing the parameter, earlier we examine residual of the model ARIMA (1,2,2). Since the residual is stationer and none of autocorrelation and partial autocorrelation is individually statistically significant, we need not to look for another ARIMA model (Gujarati 2004:847).

Table 5. Estimation Real Exchange Rate through ARIMA

| Dependent Variable: D(LRE) | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|
| Sample: 1982 2000 | | | | |
| Included observations: 19 | | | | |
| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
| C | 0.066536 | 0.049018 | 1.357397 | 0.1935 |
| AR(2) | -0.64828 | 0.876186 | -0.73988 | 0.4701 |
| MA(2) | 0.547448 | 0.940294 | 0.582209 | 0.5685 |
| R-squared | 0.030975 | Mean dependent var | | 0.067074 |
| Adj. R-squared | -0.09015 | S.D. dependent var | | 0.21898 |
| F-statistic | 0.255718 | Durbin-Watson stat | | 2.60697 |
| Prob(F-statistic) | 0.777466 | | | |

5.4. Forecasting ex Post for 2001 – 2009

The prior stage before we use the parameters resulted form ECM, we should examine diagnosis test to see whether the estimation result fulfil the assumption. There are some important assumptions to evaluate econometrically the parameters.

Table 6. Diagnosis Test of the Assumption

| Assumption | Test | ECM Real Variable | | ECM Monetary Approach | | Note |
|----------------------------|---|---|-----------|---|-----------|--|
| | | Result | Indicator | Result | Indicator | |
| Non Autocorrelation | Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test dan DW | Obs*R-squared= 3.878423 Prob= 0.1438 | OK | Obs*R-squared= 1.582092 Prob= 0.4534 | OK | |
| Homo-scedasticity | White Heteroskedasticity Test | Obs*R-squared= 11.58314 Prob= 0.1151 | OK | Obs*R-squared= 3.435625 Prob= 0.6331 | OK | |
| Non Multicollinearity | CorelasiVarIndependen | Corelasihanya= -0.14566, -0.243645 dan 0.080566 | OK | Corelasi = 0.898792 | Not OK* | R2 partial only 0.807829, it is smaller than the R2 in the model so it indicate the multicollinearity could be tolerated |
| The model Specified well | Ramsey RESET Test | F-statistic= 4.387731 Prob= 0.0468 | Not OK* | F-statistic= 4.975954 Prob= 0.0289 | Not OK* | *ECT(-1) has significant and correct sign coefficient so it indicate that the model specified well |
| Error Normally distributed | JB test | JB=0.764843 Prob=0.682207 | OK | JB=0.173813 Prob=0.916763 | OK | |

After examined by diagnosis test, the parameter resulted can be used to forecast real exchange rate. To examine the accuracy the prediction, we can conduct RMSE, MAE, and MAPE to compare prediction resulted by the three model. The accuracy comparison among three methods shown in Table 7.

Table 7. Comparison Analysis among the Method

| | Method | ARIMA | Real | Mon | Result (Rank) |
|-----------|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------------------|
| 2001-2003 | RMSE | 0.319541 | 0.539742 | 0.440392 | ARIMA Mon Real |
| | MAE | 0.257296 | 0.53277 | 0.420427 | |
| | MAPE | 2.794415 | 5.740204 | 4.539411 | |
| 2004-2006 | RMSE | 0.23107 | 0.774322 | 0.371682 | ARIMA Mon Real |
| | MAE | 0.193146 | 0.708322 | 0.343104 | |
| | MAPE | 2.126218 | 7.781184 | 3.76877 | |
| 2007-2009 | RMSE | 0.171741 | 0.963228 | 0.558838 | ARIMA Mon Real |
| | MAE | 0.161546 | 0.878152 | 0.484912 | |
| | MAPE | 1.793745 | 9.749944 | 5.383046 | |
| 2001-2009 | RMSE | 0.681297 | 1.714804 | 1.086478 | ARIMA Mon Real |
| | MAE | 0.606346 | 1.470217 | 0.962026 | |
| | MAPE | 6.686253 | 16.21045 | 10.59901 | |

Forecasting is conducted in this paper is forecasting *ex post*. The type forecasting is implemented while we predict values of dependent variable if the values of dependent and independent are already exist (Pindyck, and Rubinfeld 1998:203). Through the forecasting type, values predicted can be evaluated by comparing with the actual value. Thus, we can really check the accuracy of the three methods.

We can see Table 7 that all of the horizon prediction ARIMA is succeeded predictor than resulted by real variable (REAL) and monetary approach forecasting (MON). Smaller indicator shows better prediction. Evaluate through the first three years horizon of time prediction, the second years, and the remaining years, ARIMA is outstanding from the others. The prominent is shown the smallest result of forecasting indicator such as RMSE, MAE, and MAPE.

The Figure 1 illustrates that ARIMA's prediction trend follow nearest the actual. This graph support the fact which is provide by step by step forecasting and explain that ARIMA outperform than others in 1st three years (2001-2003), the 2nd (2004-2006), the 3rd (2007-2009), and all of nine years horizon forecasting. The graph also shows that MON consistently outperforms REAL.




Figure 1. Comparison Graph between Prediction and Actual Real Exchange Rate 2001 – 2003, 2004 – 2006, 2007 – 2009, and 2001 – 2009

Completing the analysis, we also implementing estimate real exchange rate in all observation, such as common sample of the variable that all exist in interval 1982 – 2009.




Figure 2. Comparison Graph between Prediction and Actual Real Exchange Rate (1982 – 2009)

Table 8. Comparison Analysis among the Method for Common Sample (1982 – 2009)

| | Method | ARIMA | Real | Mon | Result (Rank) |
|-----------|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------------------|
| 1982-2009 | RMSE | 0.374347 | 0.16361 | 0.213237 | Real Mon ARIMA |
| | MAE | 0.299717 | 0.132191 | 0.179962 | |
| | MAPE | 3.309222 | 1.496161 | 2.001399 | |

According to the three indicators, REAL outperforms all method. It can be happened because REAL use more variable that can explain real exchange rate. With rational approach, estimating and forecasting by the REAL, the expected value more flexible near the actual value. MON results better predictor prominent to ARIMA. It explains us that all rational expectation approaches gives better prediction than adaptive expectation in the longer forecasting. We can ensure it later for observation and other context.

Conclusions

There are some approaches to estimate foreign exchange rate. Most of them are divided to be two approaches in rational expectation. One is based on monetary approach and the other is using real variable approach.

Most variables has unit root including LRE itself. Only LRXM and LRGDP is stationer in level (0). However, the variable will stationer when it difference once or denote has I(1) and variables involved in both approach (REAL and MON) cointegrate.

Empirical analysis result that ARIMA's prediction trend follow nearest the actual. The analysis support the fact which is provide by step by step forecasting and explain that ARIMA outperform than others in 1st three years (2001-2003), the 2nd (2004-2006), the 3rd (2007-2009), and all of nine years horizon forecasting. It also shows that MON consistently outperform REAL. One of interesting points, REAL outperforms all method in the longer observation (including observation in estimating parameters). The result also explains us that all rational expectation (REAL and MON) approaches gives better prediction than adaptive expectation in the longer forecasting.

References

[1] Bergvall, A. 2004. What Determines Real Exchange Rates? The Nordic Countries, *The Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, Vol. 106, No. 2 (Jun., 2004), pp. 315-337. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3440936>

[2] Gujarati, D.N. 2004. *Basic Econometrics*, 4th Edition, Mc. Graw Hill, Singapore.

[3] Carter; H., Graffith, W., and Judge, G. 1997. *Undergraduate Econometrics*, New York: John Wiley and Sons.

[4] Lane, P., and Milesi-Ferretti, G.M. 2002. External Wealth, the Trade Balance and the Real Exchange Rate, *European Economic Review* 46, 1049-1071. http://econpapers.repec.org/article/eeeeecrev/v_3a46_3ay_3a2002_3ai_3a6_3ap_3a1049-1071.htm

- [5] Meese, R.A., and Rogoff, K. 1983. Empirical Exchange Rate Models of the Seventies: Do They Fit Out of Sample? *Journal of International Economics*, February 1983, 14(1/2), pp. 3-24. <https://scholar.harvard.edu/rogoff/publications/empirical-exchange-rate-models-seventies-do-they-fit-out-sample>
- [6] Neely, C.J., and Sarno, L. 2002. How well do monetary fundamentals forecast exchange rates? *Review, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis*, September/October 2002. <http://econpapers.repec.org/paper/fipfedlwp/2002-007.htm>
- [7] Pindyck, S.R, and Rubinfeld, D.L. 1998. *Economic Models and Economic Forecasts*, 4th Edition, Singapore: Irwin/McGraw Hill.
- [8] Rapach, D.E., and Wohar, M.E. 2002. Testing the Monetary Model of Exchange Rate Determination: A Closer Look at Panels', *Journal of International Economics* 58 (2002) 359–385. <http://coin.wne.uw.edu.pl/bbobrowicz/mse/pliki/Rapach2001.pdf>

ASERS



 **ASERS**
Publishing

Web: www.aserspublishing.eu and www.asers.eu

URL: <http://journals.aserspublishing.eu>

E-mail: jarle@aserspublishing.eu

ISSN 2068-696X

Journal DOI <https://doi.org/10.14505/jarle>

Journal's Issue DOI [https://doi.org/10.14505/jarle.v8.6\(28\).00](https://doi.org/10.14505/jarle.v8.6(28).00)